

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 2802		
10/022,012	12/14/2001	Andrea Betti-Berutto	021290-000100US			
20350	7590 04/15/2003					
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			EXAMINER			
TWO EMBARCADERO EIGHTH FLOOR	OR		MOTTOLA, STEVEN J			
SAN FRANCI	SCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2817			
			DATE MAILED: 04/15/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	D >		
	10/022,012		15etti-13	arub dura	e to
Office Action Summary	Examiner	L_1_	Group Art Unit		
	11101	010	2817	<u> </u>	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet b	eneath the c	correspondence ac	ddress	
Peri d for Reply	2				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S	S) FROM THE MAII	LING DATE	=
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply I NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, experience to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute 	y within the statutory minim kpire SIX (6) MONTHS fron	um of thirty (30 n the mailing da) days will be considere	ed timely.	3
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	ri12,2	500		·	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except to accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 			the merits is clo	sed in	
Disp sition of Claims					
Z Claim(s)		is/are	pending in the app	lication.	
Of the above claim(s)		is/are	withdrawn from cor	nsideration.	
√Claim(s) 1-19 25		is/are	allowed.		
Claim(s) $1-19$, 25 Claim(s) $20-24$ Claim(s)		is/are	reiected.		
		is/are	objected to		
□ Claim(s)			bject to restriction	or election	
Application Papers		requir	ement.		
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing I	Review. PTO-948.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved	☐ disapprove	ed.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			•	•	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-	(d).			
□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the	e priority documents ha	ive been			
received.					
 received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the Interr 		Pulo 1 7 0/o\\	·		
	·				
*Certified copies not received:					
Attachment(s)					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(•		mary, PTO-413		
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892			mal Patent Applicat		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		Other			
Office A	Action Summary				
S. Patent and Trademark Office 0-326 (Rev. 9-97) *U.S. GPD:	: 1997-433-221/62717		Part of Pape	or No	5

Part of Paper No.___

Art Unit: 2817

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 20,23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kamali et al.

Refer to fig. 5 of Kamali et al. A differential amplifier G1 receives a differential signal at its inputs from transformer T1. G1 may be read as the differential amplifier of claim 20, while differential amplifiers G2,G3 may be read as the first and second differential amplifiers together forming an output stage as claimed in claim 20. They are coupled to receive the differential outputs of G1 and each generate a single ended output via transformers T4,T5 respectively as claimed in the last paragraph of claim 20, and these outputs must be in phase as they are combined at an output node 430. In regard to claims 23-24, detail fig. 3 of Kamali et al. shows that each differential amplifier includes serially connected stages; the common gate stages may be read as the buffer output stage claimed.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/022012

Art Unit:

under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims

commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to

the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor

and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was

made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35

U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamali et al.

The difference between these claims and Kamali et al. is the resistive terminations claimed

where Kamali et al. show inductive terminations; however, the arrangements are functionally

equivalent since any real winding would include a resistive component.

Claims 1-19 and 25 are allowed.

The combiner distributed amplifier of these claims is not shown by Kamali et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mr. Mottola at

telephone number 703-308-4914.

Page 3